App. F. IX-4

1925.

File No. 66-25

Previous References
Mag 418-22-1-8
" 458-26 1 2

(Mysore)

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later References
Gen 115-26 (19.2)
Mys 256-29 (1-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for March 1927

SUBJECT

State that a watchman may be appointed to the Sri Bhoganeeswara temple at Tarikere Taluk as requested by the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore.

G.O dtd 1781-4
Dated 21.3.27

To the Registrar, Mysore Hqrs
Mys Comrs.
Comptr
Chief Engr.
Collrs. & Dy. Bors.

A watchman at Rs 8/- is sanctioned temporarily for two years. The cost may be met from the Archaeological Department.

G. R S. 11]

1925-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Mysore

NOTES.

File No. 66 - 25

FILE HEADING.

Appointment of a ~~watch~~ watchman to the temple of Sri Amriteswara, Tarikere Taluk.

From

The Registrar, Mysore University.

No. 3230 — 2 Dated 20-8-25 Received 2-9-25 — in this Branch

Diary No. 288	Enclos 2	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a copy of letter from the Director of Archaeological Researches re the condition of the temple of Sri Amriteswara, Tarikere Taluk, and states that under the circumstances reported, a watchman may be appointed to the temple early -

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The letter is addressed to the Hble Secretary, and was transferred to this Branch for being dealt with -

2. This is a minor Inam institution in receipt of a cash grant of Rs 32/- per annum

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No

The Inspection Report of 8-7-23 of the Asst Comr, Tarikere, shows that the temple owns certain lands. Details as to their value, extent & yield have to be ascertained.

"F" 3 The Inspection Report of 30-6-25 of the Sub Divisional Asstt Comr (Mr. Mahomed Ismail Sheriff) shows that the temple is not free from rank vegetation, that repairs have not yet been completed, that the archaks pay should be probably revised & that there is no care taker to the temple.

"D" 4. In G.O. No. 579-82/Mucz 418-22-8 of 23-10-24 an estimate for Rs 2110 was sanctioned for the renovation of the temple and the cost was directed to be met from the interest earnings of the Spl Muz: Fund.

5. The Director of Archaeological Researches recommends the appointment of a watchman to this temple, as in the case of the temples at Belur, Halebid & Somanathpur & the Universit Regr has supports it.

6. The appointment of a watchman to each of the temples at Belur and Chennakesavaraswami at Belur and Sri Hoysaleswaraswami temple of Halebid was sanctd in G.O. No. 541-7/Mucz 135-23-13 of 13-10-24.

Q

—Contn Sheet

Serial No

Clause vii of para 5 of G.O. No. G. 6045-105/G.M. 194-17-8 of 14-9-20, passing orders re the preservation of ancient monuments in the State, deals with the question of Conservation & from decay of these monuments - Lumpsum grants aggregating to Rs 1000, have been directed to be placed at the disposal of the Dy: Comrs, annually, for the maintenance of monuments, which are not Muzrai institutions - In the case of Muzrai institutions in service, the expenditure on ordinary maintenance and annual repairs has been directed to be met from the funds of the institutions themselves -

7. As the Amriteswaraswami temple is a Muzrai institution in service, the cost of the ordinary maintenance and annual repairs has to be met from the temple funds - The temple, whose cash grant is only Rs 32 per annum, does not appear to be capable of meeting the above cost. much less can it bear the cost of a watchman -

8 Information as to the extent of lands enjoyed by the temple & the income therefrom as well as the scale of rates of any lands in the village and also the amount of savings at its credit will, if approved, be called for from the Muz. Comr.

8/9/25 7/9/25 7-9-25 9.9. Draft 7/9/25

NOTE.—Contn Sheet.

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—10,

File No. of 192 .

General and Revc Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE.—Contn Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

being 16 ac-10, assessed at Rs 34-8 0 - The ryots are reported to be in the enjoyment of the lands, paying kanikeyam to Govt and supplying 7 maunds of oil to the temple annually for deeparadhana.

14. With regard to this, the following points arise for consideration -

1) How long the ryots are in the enjoyment of the lands?

2) Whether 7 maunds of oil valued at Rs 50, is a sufficient & reasonable income to the temple from 10 ac & 17 g of dry lands & 5 ac & 33 g of wet land.

3) Whether the temple requires 7 mds of oil per annum; the quantity seems to be out of proportion, to other items of expenditure out of the cash grant of Rs 32/-

15 The temple has no peace officer with & it is stated to have a cash balance of Rs 296-0-0 at its credit.

16. The appointment of a watchman on Rs 8/ per mensem is proposed, & recommended by the Muz: Court & the cost is proposed to be met out of the interest earnings of the Devl: Muz: Fund.

17. Submd for orders re the above points -

8/1/25 | 26 Q.

25/1/26 — 18. Full information on these points may be called for from the Muz: Court.

Rev. Secy

1st meeting 27/1/26

754.

Note—Cover Sheet

App. F. 111—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

1. Draft letter to Mug: Comr. funds up-
33 Gk
3 9 25 9.9.25
— 15 June

2 To. Mucr Commr 10 9.25.
No 323 & 15.9.25.
Forwards copy of the above letter with encls and calls for info as to the lands the enjoyed by the temple, & the Savings a/c to credit of the institn and calls for a copy of the Scale of dispr if any, for the temple.

Jus 15/9/3

From the Mug. Comr,
letter no. C 306 of 25-26 & 20-1-26
Furnishes the information called for and ~~suggs~~ recommends the appointment of a watchman to the temple on Rs 8/- p. mensem, the charge being met from the interest earnings of the Kpal: Mug: Fund.

12 Information on 3 points was called for & in reply the following information has been furnished.

13 1) The temple enjoys no inam lands, but only a cash grant of Rs 32 per annum. It is in the enjoyment of Govt lands, dry 10 ac-17 g, assessed at Rs 12-8-0 and wet 8ac-33, assessed at Rs 22, the total assessment

Contn Sheet

Note--Contn Sheet

731/27.1

App. F. III—2]

WD

File No. of 192 .

General a
Secre

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

19 I am not in favour of sanctioning the work mainly for the interest charges that fall on us, as this is not means to be used for meeting permanent & temporary charges of this kind.

20 The only case in which we can favourably consider the proposal, when the income from lands belonging to temples cannot be increased and it be for advantage of the public in any lands may be sold thereby used for meeting the proposed charge

28/1 L 29/1

21. Draft letter submd. for approval.

29.1.26 30/1.26 Rev. Secy 30/1

4 To. Mus Commr. No. 997 & 4-2-27 Issued 1/2

States that Govt are not in favour of sanctioning the charge in the source pointed out by us whether the temple lands cannot be leased out to better advantage the excess income if any, be utilised for meeting the proposed expe

5 From, the Mus Commr, Letter no C-306 D 8-2-27.

Reports that the income from the temple lands if leased out, would be far less than the present yield

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB

File No of 192 .

General and Rev Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—C nnu Sheet

Serial No

on the lands, and recommends that on a re-consideration of the orders conveyed in Sl. 4, the watchman's pay may be met from the interest earnings of the Genl. Muz. Funds. Submitted. for orders with ref. to para 20

AV 19.2.27

23. The recommendation may be sanctioned.

Ind 26/2

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
26 FEB. 1927
No. 3920

Jt Secy

24. I have seen the temple and the lands round it. I am inclined to agree in the views of the local officers, if my memory has not failed me.

25. The temple is a fine piece of ~~antient~~ archi. tecture, and it would be a pity if a Watchman cannot be appointed to look after it, for want of funds.
The renovation at a cost of nem Rs 2000/- would be of little avail, unless some Watch is arranged for.

26. I would suggest that a watchman may be sanctioned temporarily for a period of two years, the question of his further continuance to be considered thereafter. The expenditure may be met

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No.

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
1 MAR. 1927
No. 5869

from the Annexe forbidden act., as a very special case—
2nd member
26/2/27

27. A Watchman on Rs 8 p.m. may be sanctioned for 2 years as proposed above. I do not see any justification for meeting this charge from the Central Museum Fund. This temple is stated to be of Archaeological interest and is classed as an "Ancient Monument". The cost may therefore be met from the Archaeological Budget if the Fd Secy agrees. Provision for it may be made there from 1.7.27
3/3/27

28. Orders may issue
Asst. Secy
7/3/27

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
3 MAR. 1927
No. 4038

29. Draft, as per orders, is put up.
8/9
9/3/27

9/3/27 30

31 Draft covering letter to the Registrar, University is put up.
8/9
15/3/27

32 Sd/-
15/3/27

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17- 2.

Mucr File No. 66 of 1925-26

Serial No. 6

To, Registrar, Mysore University,
Mucr. Comms, Comptr & Ch Engr.
No 1781-4 Dt 21.3.27
Sanctions the appointment of a watchman for 2 yrs
the Charge being met from the
Budget.
No 1785 Dt 21-3-27 To the Regr. Mucr.
Forwards Copy of above

B

08467.

Ed. 406.
Edn 86-25-

Office of the Mysore University,

Dated Mysore, 19th August 1925.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
21. AUG. 1925
EDUCATION BRANCH

21 AUG 1925

FROM

V. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Registrar, Mysore University,
Mysore.

Myg. D. 2.
Govt 66-2.5-1

To

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg: Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 31, dated the 23th July 1925, together with its enclosures in original from the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore, regarding the condition of the temple of Amritesvara, Tarikare Taluk, and to state that under the circumstances explained therein you will be so good as to obtain early orders of Government respecting the appointment of a watchman to the temple.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obodient servant,

Registrar.

M.V.R.

406 11

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc., not intended for permanent record]

Letter no 32309 20.8.25 from the Registrar, Mysore Univ. forwarding a copy of letter dt 28.7.25 from the Dir. of Arch. Researches and requesting orders respecting the appt. of a watchman to the temple of Amriteswara, Tarikere Taluk

This may perhaps be Branch
Sent to the Murasai Library

dt. one of the above despatch.

Pl. a copy of G.O. no. 1 dated 25/1/25

The Murasai Branch may take action to appt. a watchman in consultation with the Mysa Commr. The papers will after perusal be transferred to Mysa branch. Yaffd

MV 27/8

(Chief) 4/9

Mur Branch) 31/V MV 31/8

N.B - Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

12

B650

T.....

Section Mun

File No. 66-25

Serial No. 2

Diary No of letter replied to 288

No. 3231/Three

To The Munsif Court in Mysore, Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mun)

, the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 15-9-25

I am directed to forward, herewith, a copy of letter no. 3230 dt 20-8-25, with its enclosures in original, from the Registrar, Mysore University, recommending the appointment of a watchman to the Sri Amriteswara temple at Amritapura, Tirthkere Taluk, which is deteriorating; and to request that information may kindly be furnished at an early date on the following points, with your own opinion in the matter:

1) Details of lands, if any,

over

Signed by Jns T-R

to be returned

List of enclosur

Copied by

Examined by

enjoyed by the temple and the annual income derived therefrom.

2) Has any scale of expenditure been sanctioned for the temple, and if so, a copy of it may be furnished?

3) What is the savings at the credit of the temple?.

I have etc

10.9.25.

for Secretary
Rev: Deptt

8.9.25

9-9-25

ice of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 19th January 1926.

DIARY No. 826

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

1925-1926
SECTION Muz.
FILE No. 66-25
SERIAL No. 3

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.323/M.F.66-25-2 dated the 15th September 1925 calling for certain information regarding the resources of Sri Amruteswaraswami temple at Amrutapura in the Tarikere Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement received from the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District furnishing the particulars required and to state that as the resources of the institution cannot bear the charge for entertaining a watchman whose services are quire necessary, the cost may be kindly ordered to be met from the interest earnings on the General Muzrai Funds.

The Deputy Commissioner suggests that the Archak of the temple may be entrusted with watchmn's duties and his pay increased. This does not seem to be a satisfactory arrangement, as the archak cannot attend to menial work such as the removal of vegetation and keeping the compound clean etc., The appointment of a separate watchman on Rs.8/- to live in or near the premises of the temple and to keep the whole precincts ~~of the temple and to keep the whole precincts~~ clean would be preferable, and I beg to recommend sanction thereto.

A copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.C.149/25-26 dated the 5th January 1926 with its enclosures is submitted

here-

herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

KSR.

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(absent from the Station)

ter No.H.C.No.149/25-26 dated 5th January 1926 from the ty Commissioner, Kadur District to the Muzrai Commissioner in sore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.306/25-26 dated 22nd September 1925, requesting me to furnish certain information regarding the temple of Sri Amruteswaraswami at Amrutapura, in the Tarikere Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith for kind perusal the file of vernacular papers ending with Tarikere Sub Division Officer's report No.S.H.C.186 of 25-26 dated 26th December 1925, wherein a statement showing the --- particulars required has been embodies, (Flag A.)

2. As the temple has only a small amount viz., Rs.296-10-0, at its credit, the sub Division Officer, suggests that the Archak may be paid a salary of Rs.10/- per mensem conditional to his remaining in the premises of the temple always, and preserve the monument in good condition.

3. The enclosures -4 in number-received with your letter under reply is herewith resubmitted."

1. Copy of letter No.323 Muz.66-25-2 dated 15-9-25 from the Secretary to Government to the Muz. Commissioner.
2. -do- 3230/2 dated 20-8-25 from the Registrar, Mysore University, to the Chief Secretary.
3. -do- 31 dated 28-7-25 from the Director of Archeological Researches to the Registrar.
4. Inspection notes by the Sub Division Officer, Tarikere, on 30-6-1925.

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

1

Letter No.323/Muz.66-25-2 dated 15th September 1925 from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

4

"I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No.3230 dated the 20th August 1925 with its enclosures in original (to be returned) from the Registrar, Mysore University, recommending the appointment of a watchman to the Sri Amriteswara Swamy temple at Amritapura, Tarikere Taluk which is --- deteriorating and to request that information may kindly be furnished at an early date on the following points, with your own opinion in the matter?

1. Details of lands, if any, enjoyed by the temple and the annual income derived therefrom.

2. Has any scale of expenditure been sanctioned for the temple and if so, a copy of it may be furnished?

3. What is the savings at the credit of the temple?"

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No. 3230/2 dated the 20th August 1925 from the Registrar, Mysore University, Mysore to the Chief Secretary to Government.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 31, dated the 28th July 1925, together with its enclosures in original from the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore, regarding the condition of the temple of Amriteswara, Tarikere Taluk, and to state that under the circumstances explained therein you will be so good as to obtaine early orders of Covernment respecting the appointment of a wathhman to the temple.

---

* C C P Y *

Superintendent, Muzrai Branch.

KS

3

19

Letter No. 31, dated the 29th July 1925, from the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore to the Registrar, Mysore University, Mysore.

======

In inviting your attention to the remarks made by the Assistant Commissioner, Tarikere Sub-Division, in his report on the temple of Amritesvara temple at Amritpura, Tarikere Taluk, I have the honour to state that the temple is deteriorating gradually for want of watchman to take care of it and to keep it free from vegetation. This is a 1st class Ancient Monument and is a very important structure artistically in the State.

I therefore recommend that a watchman for this temple may also be sanctioned as in the case of the temples at Belur, Halebid and Somanathapur.

==========

"COPY"

Registrar.

M.V.R.

BJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 826.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mug)
the 192

Date of issue... 4/2/26

Signed by...

List of enclosures...

Copied by...

Examined by... 4/2/26

Section Mar
File No. 66-25
Seria No. 4
No. 997
Enclosure -

To The Magrl. concr. in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. C. 306 of 25-26 & 20-1-26 recommending the appointment of a separate watchman on Rs 8/- per mensem to live in or near the premises of the Sri Amriteswara temple at Amritapura in the Tarikere to keep the precincts & close Taluk, the cost being proposed to be met from the interest earnings of the Cust: Mag: Fund, I am directed to state that

over

Govt are not in favour of sanctioning the water-man's pay from the source indicated, as it is not meant to be applied for meeting permanent establ charges of the kind.

2. I am also to enquire whether the ~~Kandayam~~ lands belonging to the temple cannot be leased out to better advantage and the addl income, if any, that may be obtained therefrom used for ~~the~~ meeting the proposed charge -

(Kandayam lands in Iram the temple has one Iram lands).

The enclos: are returned.

I have etc
h
Secretary
Rev: Deptt

30/1/26

29.1.26

30/1/26

06 of 256
one file

66-25 — 2792

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, Dated 8th Feb 1927.

FROM

P.G. D'Souza, Esqr. B.A., B.L.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
10 FEB

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
11 FEB 1927
MUZRAI
D 1130
Muz 66-25

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.306 of 25-26 dated 20-12-26 regarding the lease of the lands of Sri Amruteswaraswami temple at Amritapura in the Tarikere Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.A.5-301-26 dated 1-2-27 from the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District stating that the temple lands measuring in all 16 acres and 10 Guntas and bearing an assessment of Rs 34-8-0 cannot be leased out by public auction as the income thereby would be far less that the present yield on the lands in question.

The Deputy Commissioner agreeing with the Amildar and the Sub-Division Officer of Tarikere requests to sanction the Watchman's pay being met from the interest earnings of the General Muzrai Fund.

In the circumstances explained by the Deputy Commissioner, I am solicit that the Government may kindly reconsider their order of 4-2-26 and sanction the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation according to the pregedent in the case of Belur and Halebid temples.

The file of Vernacular papers is herein enclosed for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station)

the 1st February 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:-- Enquiring whether the temple lands of Sri Amruteswara Swami temple at Amrutapura, Tarikere Taluk, cannot be leased out by public sale and the income that may be obtained therefrom used for meeting the -- watchman's pay.

"With reference to your letter no.C.306/25-26 dated 27th October 1926, calling for a report as to why the temple lands of Sri Amruteswara swami temple at Amritapura, Tarikere Taluk, cannot be leased out by public sale, I have the honor to forward herewith for perusal, the entire file of vernacular papers received with correspondence ending with Tarikere Sub Division Officer's report no.S.1-358-26 dated 3rd January 1927, and to state as follows:---

2. The Amildar of Tarikere Taluk reports that there are no inam lands to the temple, that the temple has Government land measuring in all 16 acres and 10 guntas as shown in the margin, paying a kandayam on these lands, that the cultivators of the lands who pay kandayam on these lands give about 1/3 of the annual yield on the dry and wet lands as shown in the margin to the pujari for the performance of puja, and that the pujari has to meet all expenditure out of his share of the produce on the lands given by the cultivators.

Dry land.	10 acres and	17 guntas.
Wet "	5 "	33 "

	Dry land.	S.No.	Extent. A-G	Yield about
1.	"	93	3-1	360 to 540 seers of Ragi
2.	"	1	4-10	do
3.	"	114	3-6	1 to 1½ khandies of ragi,
4.	Wet land		5-33	3-4 khandies of paddy.

(It is stated that out of the wet land, 3 acres is 'Banjar').

3. He further reports that even if all the lands are leased out by public sale, the income thereby secured may not go beyond Rs.40-5-0 per annum, this income when compared with the present yield on the lands is far less, and proposes for the continuance of the existing practice of the lands being cultivated by the present holders paying 1/3 of the produce in kind.

4. The Sub Division Officer, Tarikere, supports the proposal of the Amildar and recommends sanction thereto.

Under the above circumstances, the Tarikere Sub Division Officer's proposals may

"C o p y"

Muzrai Commissioner,

SUBJECT.....

Diary No of letter replied to... 1130.....

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

the 192 .

Date of issue 21-3-27

Signed by M Varadarajan

SECTION Mus

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Letter no. 3230 & 20-8-25 from the Registrar, Mysore University, forwarding a copy of letter ~~no~~ from the Director of Archaeological Researches regarding the condition of the temple of Sri Amriteswara at Amritapura, Tarikere Taluk; and stating that, under the ~~Order Thereon No.~~ circumstances reported, a watchman may be appointed to the temple early.

2) Correspondence ending,

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette. English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Rqd
14/3

with letter no. C. 306 of 25-26
& 8.2.27 from the Mun: Comr.
in Mysore stating that the
temple lands ~~measuring in all~~
~~18 acres and bearing an assess-~~
~~ment of Rs 24-8-0~~ cannot be
leased out by public auction,
as to which
as the income ~~thereby would~~
be far less than the present
~~yield on the lands in question~~,
and recommending ~~that~~ sanction to the appt
~~the appointment~~ of a watchman
on Rs 8/- per mensem to the
temple ~~may be sanctioned~~ therein
and the pay/met from the
interest earnings of the
Cpenl: Mun: Fund-

Order:-

In the circumstances
reported, the appointment
of a watchman on Rs 8/- per
mensem is sanctioned ~~for~~

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7-22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

temporarily for a period of two years, the question of his further continuance being considered thereafter

Govt do not see any justification for meeting this pay from the source indicated. This temple is stated to be of Archaeological interest and ~~is~~ classed as an "Ancient Monument". ~~Govt, therefore, direct that~~ the cost will thereof be met from the Archaeological Budget, and provision for it ~~xxxx~~ be made therefrom 1.7.27.

Yrs. (Illegible) Secretary (Illegible) 9.3.27

To

The Registrar, Mysore University, with reference acknowledgment letter

Advocate Genl. in Mysore

Retg. ver: records

Comptr to Govt

Collr: Engr of Mysore

8d 9.3.27 Copy, by Ltr Bv

WD 2230—GPB—1,00 000—23 7 20

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Mis.
File No. 66-M25-
Serial No 7

No. 1785-

To

The Registrar,
Mysore University, Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mug)
the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 21-3-27

Signed by Mr. K. Venkatasubbaiya

With reference to your letter no. 3230 & 20-8-25 regarding the appointment of a watchman to the temple of Sri Amriteswara, Tarikere Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the G. O. No 1781-4/Mug 66-25-6 Dt-21/3/27 sanctioning the appointment of a watchman.

I have etc
HMK 15 3 27
for Secretary
(Mug) 17

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 80 15.3.27

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25